

**0-25 Health & Wellbeing Board**  
**22 March 2016**  
**Swale 2 & 3, Sessions House, County Hall, Maidstone**

**MINUTES**

**In attendance:**

Andrew Ireland (AI)	KCC – Corporate Director – Social Care, Health & Wellbeing
Thom Wilson (TW)	KCC - Head of Strategic Commissioning (Children's)
Michael Thomas-Sam (MT-S)	KCC - Strategic Business Adviser
Peter Oakford (PO)	KCC - Cabinet Member SCS
Roger Gough (RG)	KCC - Cabinet Member Education and Health Reform
Supt Simon Thompson (ST)	Kent Police
Samantha Bennett (SB)	KCC - Public Health, Consultant
Florence Kroll (FK)	KCC - Director of Early Help
Abdool Kara (AK)	Kent District Councils Chief Executives
Gill Rigg (GR)	Kent Safeguarding Children Board Independent Chair
Philip Segurola (PS)	KCC - Director Specialist Children's Services
Hema Birdi (HB)	District Manager EHPS, Maidstone
Jenny Hollingsbee (JH)	Cabinet Member, Communities SDC
Sarah Robson (SR)	Head of Communities
Oliver Hartland (OH)	Swale BC
Tony Witton (ToW)	KCC - Arts & Culture

**Apologies:**

Patrick Leeson	KCC - Corporate Director – Education & Young People's Service
Jane O'Rourke	Head of East Kent Children's Commissioning Support Team
Penny Southern	KCC - Director of Disabled Children, Adults Learning Disability and Mental Health
Kim Shubrook	Headteacher, Westcourt Primary & Nursery School
Jess Mookherjee	KCC - Public Health
Sharon McLaughlin	District Manager 0-25, Thanet

**1. Welcome & Introductions**

Introductions were made and apologies given, including Patrick for item 6.

**2. Minutes of last meeting & matters arising**

Checked for accuracy, all ok.

**JSNA** is an action, and is on the agenda.

Patrick's item – **CYP HWB Standing Group for SEND** is to be deferred to next meeting, or he will need to send a representative.

**UASC Update:** Nothing has dramatically changed. We are still seeing a lower level of arrivals, and work is still going on at government level about a national dispersal. Dispersal work is getting there slowly, think it will still happen, though the concern is that we are now end of March. The pattern is that arrivals will increase in spring, hopefully not to the same level as last year. Andrew continues to press the urgency with DfE and government. Happy to continue to update at each meeting if needed, if dispersal happens, it will be important to update.

### 3. ARTS presentation

Tony Witton gave presentation. ARTS & Culture Service.

**ACTION:** SB to send his presentation which has notes attached.

The service is part of the Economic Development division of KCC – the objectives are split into 3 areas: business growth, skills development, community wellbeing.

A recent success was that KCC Arts had an item on the agenda at the National Arts Conference last month.

#### Questions:

Do you work with employers?

Yes we do, with a range of organisations including Turner Contemporary, to small practitioners. Have a Cultural transformation board. The Inspire programme which is about 25 organisations working in schools. Pilot project in Dartford BC, putting artists into schools to work on designs and then they will be taken and made into vinyl wraps that then cover bin lorries. We are putting creative practitioners into school to help young people to work creatively. The YP also get to learn about the waste industry who are funding the project.

HB – do you work closely with LSC and apprentices?

Yes, last year we put a bid in with the National College for Creating and Cultural Skills in Essex. We put in place external funding with 11 apprenticeships across the county.

AK – how do we find out what's going on in borough?

This is challenge for us – we used to have district based coordinators, now down to 3 working across Kent. Board members should use Tony as point of contact.

AI – what is the evidence base? Question for Sam...that this activity brings about significant impact amongst CYP?

SB – quite good evidence base. Also benefit about being engaged and finding something that you are good at.

FK – one element about work and apprenticeships, one about using arts to improve outcomes – a lot of evidence in YJ world to improve outcomes – depends on what you're looking at. Quite a challenge in terms of funding. Need to think about being more innovative.

AI – link between this and employment is secure as is wellbeing. Challenge is about as we get into more tighter times, how to determine who to invest in. This area would need strong evidence base. If shaky, then it wouldn't get funding, need to be really focused.

FK – lottery funding to give some scope to test, depends on the quality, need to ensure have the right quality to make an impact.

ThW – don't think it always has to do with money. This is a group that considers the universal needs. Might be a way for Arts to engage with CYP without looking at it financially. Arts providers could be part of network working with kids locally. Will put in better position with Grant funding starts again.

ToW – aware as a sector that don't have strong evidence base. Need to look at ways to work with colleagues.

PS – do you have teams working with asylum seekers?  
TW – an org currently in Canterbury who have just started to work  
PS – need a follow on discussion

AI – interesting and stimulating presentation may need to come back to group at some point. Not for next couple of meetings (as needs time to develop).

#### **4. LCPG Feedback** (standing item)

The second meeting of chairs was on 10<sup>th</sup> March, next one is in April. There are formal minutes which can be shared. There is a standing agenda item that the group will feed back to this board.

After discussion it was agreed that the Chairs would use the three questions, but we would not refer to it as Signs of Safety questions:

- What are we worried about?
- What is working well?
- What do we need to do more of?

**Things to be worried about** – lack of EMHWP data, all agreed is #1 priority, but lack of robust KPI. There is a meeting to discuss EWP data that Public Health leading on. An Indicator of EWP in EH Notifications was added to the strategy – on the agenda.

Capturing VoC – approx. 200-250 comments on strategy – Sevenoaks keen to make more meaningful. Discussion about role of elected members – all agreed that members from KCC and districts welcome – concern that may become over politicised.

PO – should be a lead member from each district – cannot stop members from districts attending if they wish. Despite considerable interest it is not likely that a high number will attend.

ThW – numbers increasing, about half now. We are collecting a register of core members and will look to fill in gaps.

Grants came up as strength and worry. Next time around we wish for a longer process and to be more engaging about developing creative ideas.

PO – FK and I spent a long time going through grants – some districts done lots of work, others less so. Need to use grants for reason they are there, not £40k to 1 company because it does not support diversity.

FK – cap on money as to how much can be put. Majority of local groups did a really good job in making recommendations.

**What worked well** – reduction in duplication. Dashboards – showed a mock up for one district and people were really positive about how it would work.

**Needs to happen:** Need to ensure think about education trust proposals and impact on LCPGs. The next meeting in April will focus on OBA to turn final strategy into action – how we look at indicators, how the people in partnerships will work together to improve the indicators.

HB – still early days, learning lessons and feed in next year. Keep development.

AI – so far there's quite a bit of consistency in areas of discussion across groups?

TW – Jane Smithson, ThW or Commissioning Officers have been going to the meetings until now as the groups develop. Now that the strategy is drafted and dashboards are in place we expect groups to start to focus on delivery.

FK – how are you finding bringing together the partners?

JH – learning and improving, once in blueprint in terms of membership, and get more people round table, will then start to be really interesting. Lots more joining up to be done.

AI – where are we in terms of dashboards?

ThW – some gaps – some data added, domestic abuse and EH notifications with EMH as primary need. Not reported in the way we needed, so need to work with MIU to get turned round – Jane is trying to resolve but we are 90% there. SC, PH, EH send data to populate workbooks. Will be done by end April.

AI – does sound like doesn't need to be perfect before it starts.

ThW – dashboard for next meeting and this meeting will look at live data.

## **5. CYPP**

ThW – the strategy went out yesterday. Would like approval from the board on specific areas. Hoping to finalise by end March. Coming to this group for views and approval. There was discussion in last Chairs meeting as to whether call 0-25 or children and young people. Proposal is to use children and young people.

AI – Whilst it is right that 25 represents the last point by which YP would be in touch with services, the numbers would be very low. Equally people may feel this is a strategy for everyone up to 25 years old, which is not the case.

ThW – agreement that going with CYP.

PS – I really like the strategy, it is accessible. Some of the outcome pages need to be a bit more precise – should include CSE and links between missing children and CSE – can we augment missing to include CSE?

PS – CiC very much about partnership, but looking at care leaver outcomes, requires partnership to deliver, so disappointed nothing specific for care leavers. Could you consider adding an indicator for care leavers?

FK - reads really well, indicators great. What is missing is how to promote Voice of the Child as not a specific indicator. Voice of parents and children – might need a section that's an expectation that each groups meets.

ThW – this was also raised by the Chairs group.

HB – that was important to the group – who wanted to see how we can do more

ST – regarding the police – this is totally aligned – only thing not in there is human trafficking and modern day slavery.

AK – interesting prioritisation question. Are we more concerned by volume issues or seriousness? Need to be clear if asking to develop a dashboard or saying the majority of things are happening elsewhere, through other strategies and groups.

ThW – one other thing is reliability of data – i.e. CSE – need to review indicators in 1 year, CSE may have better data then.

PS – don't want to end up with a page of statistics. Need to tell the story, but data in itself will be dry, need a creative narrative.

FK – EH Notifications re MH will be problematic to get process in place, is it the right indicator? We should consider referrals to CAMHS, not EH Notifications to CAMHS yet. Have a sub-set of them to look at main reason; also why don't have CAMHS on there?

ThW – really pleased that this was raised as we have concerns about EH notifications for mental health. We have not included CAMHS referrals as they are not an indicator of wellbeing, it's a performance measure. However I agree that is a better indicator than notifications.

SB – Mental health outcomes are useful when they pick up at crisis points. A measure of outcome about how system working, another one is domestic abuse.

ThW – Self-harm is in there for emotional wellbeing, the question is whether there is a second suitable indicator. **ACTION: TW to speak to SB outside of the meeting.**

AI – need to take the conversation re indicators offline. General sense is that it is good, but not finished yet. Clarify process by which final version gets agreed – worked on here and with LCPGs, goes to County HWB Board, internal governance in stakeholder/partner organisations, do CCGs want to look at it, we want to get choreography right. I suspect a route that says we finish it and it goes to HWBB isn't adequate, would be lovely if were as simple.

AK – several indicators in there that worth sharing with Community Safety Partnerships. Domestic violence is an issue. Swale CSP now use Repeat notifications. In terms of governance there may be a parallel environment strategy, which District Councils were asked to endorse. If this goes to HWBB we also have local HWBs that may need to get involved.

AI – further discussions about governance should take place outside of the meeting. **ACTION: ThW to follow up with Barbara Cooper's office regarding the Environment Strategy.**

MTS – remind meeting that not about creating new work for a hobby. This strategy is linked to a statutory responsibility, Section 10 Duty to Cooperate. For KCC internally the issue is as to whether KCC adopt as council policy, Peter will take this as cabinet member.

AI – further internal discussions offline. Include AK suggestion, and go to Barbara and her team to find out how model worked and utilise HWBB as key vehicle by which commissioners are engaged. To come back to content, how we get to final point, offline discussion re indicators, are we expecting to see another iteration at next meeting, or final?

TW – It will be the final version with the dashboard.

AI – opportunity for CCGs to contribute if choose to and anyone else round table. Please ensure that CSE is included to represent the level of priority that KCC and partners place upon this area.

ThW – Deadline for comments – 12<sup>th</sup> April and then specific areas for further work/discussion.

AI – thank you Thom. We've had a good long look, think reading mood is looking good and should get to something that will be really valuable and will look and read well, layout and dashboard will be valuable tools for LCPGs to work with and look through. Congratulations to you and Jane.

## **6. CYP HWB Standing Group for SEND**

As Patrick was not present, this item has been deferred to next the meeting.

## **7. JSNA Update**

Sam Bennett gave a presentation. **ACTION: Stephanie to attach presentation to minutes.**

AK – is all the data by home addresses not institution?

SB – yes

RG – there are a number of PH graphs which show the same picture. When you get into the worst decile they drop off from where expected, interesting to see phenomena in this scenario.

ThW – some of the challenge has been about how it gives clear direction to commissioners. Is there an equivalent that plots CCGs?

SB – yes, could be looked at.

MTS – do you think there's a link to greatest needs?

SB – yes lots of work done to counter that.

AK – worry about what's above or below the line. What would be interesting would be to take the worst decile and look at Kent and Medway – what is the place that worst decile is and do as a landmark.

SB – showed most deprived decile slide.

AI – back to questions, I support ThWs contribution. Identify at a local level to give greater understanding, trying to pick that out as opposed to presentation. Much more accessible and useful, needs more work still, data can be cut in different ways. To develop a model like this, a more traditional approach is better.

AK – fantastic piece of work – only thing around what's the narrative about this and the CYPP indicators? Need to be able to explain locally how they work together.

SB – big overlap with indicators. CYPP is a partnership piece of work and needs to be driven by agencies. Hopefully will provide what will work.

AI – feels that all are very supportive of this approach. We do need to look at ways of making it stronger. What are we going to do with it? Are we going to present different cuts of information in the same way? What is bigger plan re JSNA? Is a conversation needed with the Director of PH?

SB – he's going to meetings about next years, but what bring back to you, once approach agreed to show what can do.

AI – Very keen to support this. **ACTION: AI to have conversation with Director of PH.**

Back on agenda in a few months once worked on further.

## **8. AOB**

Nothing raised.

**Next meeting:** 15<sup>th</sup> June (moved from 3<sup>rd</sup> May due to Elections).